

1. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Mitchell and Priddy [2] showed that the $Z/2$ -basis of $\tilde{H}^*(L(2))$ embedded in $\tilde{H}^*(RP^\infty \times RP^\infty)$ is $a_{(j,k)} = (x_1 + x_2)^k (x_1^j x_2^k + x_1^k x_2^j)$ for $j > k > 0$, where x_1 and x_2 are the generators of $\tilde{H}^1(RP^\infty \times RP^\infty)$. We observe the image of $\tilde{H}^*(L(2))$ embedded in $\tilde{H}^*(RP^\infty \times RP^\infty)$.

Since E is a subalgebra of A , $\tilde{H}^*(X)$ is an E -module for any space or spectrum X . Recall that $Q_k(xy) = Q_k(x)y + xQ_k(x)$ for $k = 0, 1$ and $Q_0(x) = Sq^1x = x^2$, $Q_1(x) = (Sq^3 + Sq^2Sq^1)(x) = x^4$ for $\dim x = 1$. With these rules, we are going to compute the E -module structure of $\tilde{H}^*(L(2))$. First we need some observation.

Lemma 1.1 For $k = 0, 1$, $Q_k(\sum_i a_i \sum_j b_j) = (Q_k(\sum_i a_i))(\sum_j b_j) + (\sum_i a_i)(Q_k(\sum_j b_j))$.

Proof. $Q_k(\sum_{i,j} a_i b_j) = \sum_{i,j} Q_k(a_i b_j) = \sum_{i,j} (Q_k(a_i)b_j + a_i Q_k(b_j)) = \sum_{i,j} Q_k(a_i)b_j + \sum_{i,j} a_i Q_k(b_j) = (Q_k(\sum_i a_i))(\sum_j b_j) + (\sum_i a_i)(Q_k(\sum_j b_j))$. ■

With this lemma, if A and B are the combination of some monomials, $Q_k(AB) = Q_k(A)B + A Q_k(B)$. Thus we simplify $Q_k(AB)$ to the action of Q_k on each factor of AB . Since $Q_k((x_1 + x_2)^{2j}) = Q_k((x_1 + x_2)^j)(x_1 + x_2)^j + (x_1 + x_2)^j Q_k((x_1 + x_2)^j) = 0$ by the lemma above, and $Q_k((x_1 + x_2)^{2j+1}) = (x_1 + x_2)^{2j} Q_k((x_1 + x_2))$ it suffices to consider the case $j = 0$. Therefore we consider $Q_k((x_1 + x_2))$ next.

Lemma 1.2 $Q_0((x_1 + x_2)) = (x_1 + x_2)^2$, $Q_1((x_1 + x_2)) = (x_1 + x_2)^4$.

Proof. $Q_0((x_1 + x_2)) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2) = (x_1 + x_2)^2$.
 $Q_1((x_1 + x_2)) = (x_1^4 + x_2^4) = (x_1 + x_2)^4$. ■

Let $a_{(j,k)} = (x_1 + x_2)^k (x_1^j x_2^k + x_1^k x_2^j) = x_1^j x_2^k (x_1 + x_2)^k + x_2^j x_1^k (x_1 + x_2)^k = \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} x_{\sigma(1)}^j x_{\sigma(2)}^k (x_{\sigma(1)} + x_{\sigma(2)})^k$. By the above two lemmas, we can compute the E -module structure of $\tilde{H}^*(L(2))$ directly by catching the parity of j and k , i.e. the power of each factor. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_1(a_{(2i,2j+1)}) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} Q_1(x_{\sigma(1)}^{2i} x_{\sigma(2)}^{2j+1} (x_{\sigma(1)} + x_{\sigma(2)})^{2j+1}) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} x_{\sigma(1)}^{2i} x_{\sigma(2)}^{2j} (x_{\sigma(1)} + x_{\sigma(2)})^{2j} Q_1(x_{\sigma(2)} (x_{\sigma(1)} + x_{\sigma(2)})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} x_{\sigma(1)}^{2i} x_{\sigma(2)}^{2j} (x_{\sigma(1)} + x_{\sigma(2)})^{2j} (x_{\sigma(2)}^4 (x_{\sigma(1)} + x_{\sigma(2)}) + x_{\sigma(2)} (x_{\sigma(1)} + x_{\sigma(2)})^4) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} x_{\sigma(1)}^{2i} x_{\sigma(2)}^{2j+1} (x_{\sigma(1)} + x_{\sigma(2)})^{2j+1} (x_{\sigma(2)}^3 + (x_{\sigma(1)} + x_{\sigma(2)})^3) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} x_{\sigma(1)}^{2i} x_{\sigma(2)}^{2j+1} (x_{\sigma(1)} + x_{\sigma(2)})^{2j+1} (x_{\sigma(1)}^3 + x_{\sigma(1)} x_2 (x_{\sigma(1)} + x_{\sigma(2)})) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} (x_{\sigma(1)}^{2i+3} x_{\sigma(2)}^{2j+1} (x_{\sigma(1)} + x_{\sigma(2)})^{2j+1} + x_{\sigma(1)}^{2i+1} x_2^{2j+2} (x_{\sigma(1)} + x_{\sigma(2)})^{2j+2}) \\
&= a_{(2i+3, 2j+1)} + a_{(2i+1, 2j+2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

With these in mind, we can show the following theorem.

Theorem 1. $\tilde{H}^*(L(2))$ is a free E -module.

Proof. We show that the class $X = \{a_{(2i, 2j+1)} | 2i > 2j + 1 > 0\}$ is an E -basis. Since $Q_0(a_{(2i, 2j+1)}) = a_{(2i+1, 2j+1)}$, $Q_1(a_{(2i, 2j+1)}) = a_{(2i+3, 2j+1)} + a_{(2i+1, 2j+2)}$, $Q_0 Q_1(a_{(2i, 2j+1)}) = a_{(2i+2, 2j+2)}$, we see that each $a_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is generated uniquely. Hence $\tilde{H}^*(L(2))$ is isomorphic to $\sum_{\alpha \in X} E_\alpha$ for $E_\alpha = E$. Thus $\tilde{H}^*(L(2))$ is a free E -module with basis X . ■

Recall that $\tilde{H}^*(bu) \cong A//A(Q_0, Q_1) \cong A \otimes_E Z/2$ where $A(Q_0, Q_1)$ is the ideal generated by Q_0 and Q_1 . Let M and N be left A -modules with the actions μ_M and μ_N , then $M \otimes N$ is also a left A -module with the action defined by the composition

$$A \otimes (M \otimes N) \xrightarrow{\psi \otimes M \otimes N} A \otimes A \otimes (M \otimes N) \xrightarrow{A \otimes T \otimes N} A \otimes M \otimes A \otimes N \xrightarrow{A \otimes T \otimes N} M \otimes N,$$

where ψ is the diagonal map of A and $T(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$ is the twist map. Denote $M \otimes N$ with this action by ${}_D(M \otimes N)$. Denote $M \otimes N$ with the extended A action over M by ${}_L(M \otimes N)$.

Let ν be the following composite map

$$\nu : bu \wedge HZ/2 \xrightarrow{i \wedge HZ/2} HZ/2 \wedge HZ/2 \xrightarrow{\mu} HZ/2$$

where $i : bu \rightarrow HZ/2$ is the multiplicative map and μ is the ring structure map of $HZ/2$.

Corollary 1. $bu \wedge L(2) \simeq \bigvee_{\alpha} \Sigma^{\alpha} HZ/2$ where $\alpha = 2i + 4j + 2$ for $2i > 2j + 1 > 0$.

Proof. The proof is based on the concept of Yan's [6] for splitting $bu \wedge BO(2)$. Let $a \in \tilde{H}^{2i+4j+2}(L(2))$ whose image in $\tilde{H}^*(RP^\infty \times RP^\infty)$ is $a_{(2i, 2j+1)}$, and let $g_a : L(2) \rightarrow \Sigma^{\dim(a)} HZ/2$ represent a . Construct the map g by the following composition:

$$g : bu \wedge L(2) \xrightarrow{1_{bu} \wedge \vee_a g_a} bu \wedge (\bigvee_a \Sigma^{\dim(a)} HZ/2) \xrightarrow{\vee_a \nu} \vee_a \Sigma^{\dim(a)} HZ/2.$$

Note $\tilde{H}^*(bu \wedge L(2)) \cong \tilde{H}^*(bu) \otimes \tilde{H}^*(L(2)) \cong (A \otimes_E Z/2) \otimes \tilde{H}^*(L(2))$. Since ${}_D(\tilde{H}^*(L(2)) \otimes (A \otimes_E Z/2)) \cong_D ((A \otimes_E Z/2) \otimes \tilde{H}^*(L(2)))$ and ${}_D(\tilde{H}^*(L(2)) \otimes Z/2) \cong \tilde{H}^*(L(2))$, the isomorphism

$$\theta : {}_L(A \otimes_E \tilde{H}^*(L(2))) \xrightarrow{\cong} {}_D((A \otimes_E Z/2) \otimes \tilde{H}^*(L(2)))$$

is given by $\theta(a \otimes x) = \Sigma a' \otimes 1 \otimes a'' x$, with the inverse $\theta^{-1}(a \otimes 1 \otimes x) = \Sigma a' \otimes \chi(a'') x$, where $\psi(a) = \Sigma a' \otimes a''$ and χ is the conjugation map(cf. [5],[6]). Hence $(A \otimes_E Z/2) \otimes \tilde{H}^*(L(2)) \cong A \otimes_E \tilde{H}^*(L(2))$.

Since $\tilde{H}^*(L(2))$ is the free E -module by the theorem 1, $A \otimes_E \tilde{H}^*(L(2))$ is a free A -module. $\tilde{H}^*(bu \wedge L(2)) \cong (A \otimes_E Z/2) \otimes \tilde{H}^*(L(2)) \cong A \otimes_E \tilde{H}^*(L(2))$, thus $\tilde{H}^*(bu \wedge L(2))$ is a free A -module. Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{H}^*(\bigvee_a \Sigma^{\dim(a)} HZ/2) & \xrightarrow{g^*} & \tilde{H}^*(bu \wedge L(2)) & \rightarrow & (A \otimes_E Z/2) \otimes \tilde{H}^*(L(2)) \\ \Sigma^{\dim(a)} 1 & \rightarrow & 1 \otimes a & \rightarrow & 1 \otimes 1 \otimes a \\ & & \theta^{-1} & & \\ & & \rightarrow & & A \otimes_E \tilde{H}^*(L(2)) \\ & & \rightarrow & & 1 \otimes a \end{array}$$

where $\Sigma^{\dim(a)} 1$ is in the basis of $\tilde{H}^*(\bigvee_a \Sigma^{\dim(a)} HZ/2)$. Thus $\tilde{H}^*(\bigvee_a \Sigma^{\dim(a)} HZ/2)$ and $A \otimes_E \tilde{H}^*(L(2))$ have the same rank. g induces an isomorphism in mod 2 cohomology and thus is an equivalence. ■